

March 26, 1985

LB 190, 691  
LR 78

Senator Vard Johnson would like to print amendments to 190. (See page 1193 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, new resolution, LR 78 offered by Senator Hartnett, commemorates the passage of Mr. Charles Reed. That will be laid over, Mr. President. (See page 1194 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT: Now then we proceed to LB 691.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 691 was, of course, the bill introduced by Senator DeCamp. (Read title.) The bill was considered yesterday, by the Legislature. Yesterday, Mr. President, the committee amendments were adopted. There was an amendment by Senator DeCamp to the bill that was adopted, then had pending a motion by Senators Vickers and Beutler to indefinitely postpone the bill. That is now before us, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Vickers. Senator Vickers, are you here? Your motion to indefinitely...either Senator Beutler or you. Go ahead, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, an arrangement has been made, subject to the approval of the Legislature, with regard to 691, that is Senator DeCamp is willing and other parties who are interested in that particular bill, most interested in it I guess, thought it would make some sense to discuss that bill in conjunction with LB 713, which is a priority bill and which is the Commonwealth claim bill. Otherwise, if we try to discuss 691 at this point in time, we are going to get into a far-ranging discussion of Commonwealth and other things. It may well be irrelevant, depending on an Attorney General's opinion, and depending on some other facts. So the proposal was, if this is all right with the Speaker and with the body, that we take 691 and ask the Speaker to pull it off the agenda and to reinsert it on the agenda at the same time that priority bill 713 is being considered. Since certain members of the Legislature feel that that bill is relevant to and a part of the Commonwealth matter, it would seem that it would probably make most sense in terms of the efficiency of the time of the Legislature to simply take it up at that point in time. I would guess I would ask, for the record, Senator DeCamp, you are in agreement with this particular